July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007–2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as Learning Results, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's Learning Results. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the Learning Results and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



High School Report

Test Date: May 2008

12341628 ID:

SAU: MSAD 40

Medomak Valley High School School:

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Торіс	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11



Year

SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008 SAU: **MSAD 40**


Medomak Valley High School School:


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score


icui	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008	1138 1139	1138 1139	1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008	1141 1141	1141 1141	1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008	1138 1138	1138 1138	1141 1140
Science 2007–2008	1141	1141	1141













SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

		En	rol	lme	nt¹								CC	INC	ΓEΝ	IT.	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	TC	PA	TIC	N ²					
CATEGORY OF	d	luring	j test	ing v	vindo	w		С	ritical	Read	ing				Mathe	matic	s				Wri	ting					Sci	ence	
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sch	nool	s	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	State
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Total number of students	141	100	138	100	15604	100	134	95	132	96	14875	96	136	96	134	97	15165	97	134	95	132	96	14869	96	133	94	131	95	14961 96
Ethnicity African American/Black	3	2	3	2	305	2	3	100	3	100	261	86	3	100	3	100	286	95	3	100	3	100	260	86	3	100	3	100	280 93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0	0	0	0	103	1	0	0	0	0	95	93	0	0	0	0	97	95	0	0	0	0	95	93	0	0	0	0	93 91
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	1	1	1	215	1	1	100	1	100	194	90	1	100	1	100	202	94	1	100	1	100	194	90	1	100	1	100	200 93
Hispanic	1	1	1	1	140	1	1	100	1	100	118	84	1	100	1	100	123	88	1	100	1	100	118	84	1	100	1	100	120 86
Caucasian/White	136	96	133	96	14841	95	129	95	127	95	14207	96	131	96	129	97	14457	98	129	95	127	95	14202	96	128	94	126	95	14268 96
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Identified disability	23	16	23	17	2247	14	22	96	22	96	2065	93	22	96	22	96	2138	96	22	96	22	96	2060	92	22	96	22	96	2081 93
Current LEP	5	4	4	3	648	4	4	80	4	100	508	79	4	80	4	100	564	87	4	80	4	100	507	78	3	60	3	75	534 83
Economically disadvantaged	50	35	50	36	4028	26	44	88	44	88	3682	92	46	92	46	92	3831	95	44	88	44	88	3679	92	44	88	44	88	3755 94
Migrant	1	1	1	1	5	0	1	100	1	100	5	100	1	100	1	100	5	100	1	100	1	100	5	100	1	100	1	100	5 10

MODE OF		(Critica	ıl Re	ading	9				Mathe	ematic	s				Wr	iting					Scie	ence		
	Sc	hool		SAU		Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sch	nool	s	AU	St	ate	Sc	nool	S	AU	St	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	9
Participation without accommodations	113	80	111	1 8	80 1	3042	84	115	82	113	82	13332	85	113	80	111	80	13042	84	113	80	111	80	13192	2 8
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	4	4	4		4	739	6	4	3	4	4	810	6	4	4	4	4	739	6	4	4	4	4	791	
LEP	3	3	3		3	399	3	3	3	3	3	456	3	3	3	3	3	399	3	3	3	3	3	436	
504 plan	0	0	0		0	196	2	0	0	0	0	204	2	0	0	0	0	196	2	0	0	0	0	201	
Participation with accommodations	21	15	21		15 1	1623	10	21	15	21	15	1624	10	21	15	21	15	1625	10	20	14	20	14	1567	
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	18	86	18		86 1	1117	69	18	86	18	86	1119	69	18	86	18	86	1119	69	18	90	18	90	1088	3
LEP	1	5	1		5	93	6	1	5	1	5	93	6	1	5	1	5	93	6	0	0	0	0	83	
504 plan	0	0	0		0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	58	4	0	0	0	0	55	T
Other	2	10	2		10	367	23	2	10	2	10	366	23	2	10	2	10	367	23	2	10	2	10	353	2
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	0	0	0		0	209	1	0	0	0	0	209	1	0	0	0	0	202	1	0	0	0	0	202	T
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	0	0	0		0	209	100	0	0	0	0	209	100	0	0	0	0	202	100	0	0	0	0	202	1
LEP	0	0	0		0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	
504 plan	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0	0		0	1	0																		T
Approved non-participation – special consideration	0	0	0		0	36	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	38	
Non-participation – other	7	5	6		4	693	4	5	4	4	3	399	3	7	5	6	4	699	4	8	6	7	5	605	

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

Maine High School Assessment

CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU:

MSAD 40

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>		ST	UDENTS .	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea	ntified	Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	e-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	13	9	13	9	1079	7
	2006-2007	10	6	10	6	1168	8
	2007-2008	12	9	12	9	1184	8
	Cum. Total*	35	8	35	8	3431	8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	48	33	48	34	5697	38
	2006-2007	49	31	49	32	5714	38
	2007-2008	52	39	51	39	5885	40
	Cum. Total*	149	34	148	34	17296	39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	45	31	41	29	4772	32
	2006-2007	52	33	51	33	4728	31
	2007-2008	35	26	35	27	4093	28
	Cum. Total*	132	30	127	30	13593	30
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	40	27	40	28	3595	24
	2006-2007	45	29	45	29	3444	23
	2007-2008	35	26	34	26	3417	23
	Cum. Total*	120	28	119	28	10456	23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

DEDORTING					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		M		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	134	12	9	52	39	35	26	35	26	1139	132	9	39	27	26	1139	14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	3										3						248	4	21	27	48	1132
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						94	5	27	28	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander	1 1										1						192	4	35	30	31	1138
Hispanic	1 1										1						115	5	32	26	37	1136
Caucasian/White	129	12	9	49	38	34	26	34	26	1139	127	9	38	27	26	1139	13930	8	41	28	23	1141
Not Reported	0					•		"		1.00	0						0					
Not ricported											ľ						ľ					
Identified disability																						
Yes	22	0	0	1	5	3	14	18	82	1122	22	0	5	14	82	1122	1823	1	9	24	65	1126
No	112	12	11	51	46	32	29	17	15	1143	110	11	45	29	15	1143	12756	9	45	29	17	1143
Current LEP																						
Yes	4										4						488	3	22	24	52	1132
No	130	12	9	49	38	35	27	34	26	1139	128	9	38	27	26	1139	14091	8	41	28	22	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	44	0	0	14	32	12	27	18	41	1132	44	0	32	27	41	1132	3545	3	28	30	39	1134
No	90	12	13	38	42	23	26	17	19	1143	88	14	42	26	18	1143	11034	10	44	27	19	1143
NO .		12	10		72	20	20	''	'0	1140		1.4	72	1 20		1140	11004	10	-	-	10	1140
Migrant																						
Yes	1										1						5	20	0	40	40	1136
No	133	12	9	52	39	35	26	34	26	1140	131	9	39	27	25	1140	14574	8	40	28	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	70	6	9	27	39	23	33	14	20	1140	69	9	39	33	19	1140	7237	8	42	30	19	1142
Male	64	6	9	25	39	12	19	21	33	1139	63	10	38	19	33	1139	7342	8	38	26	28	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	11	0	0	1	9	4	36	6	55	1128	11	0	9	36	55	1128	103	0	9	30	61	1127
	123	12	10	51	41	31	25	29	24	1141	121	10	41	26	23	1141	14476	8	41	28	23	1141
No	123	12	10	31	41	اد	20	29	24	1141	121	10	41	20	23	1141	144/0	Ō	41	20	23	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	48	48	4	0	1161
No	134	12	9	52	39	35	26	35	26	1139	132	9	39	27	26	1139	14284	7	40	29	24	1140
-																						



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

School: Medomak Valley High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections 2006-2007 578 5 3 5 3 4 among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 6 6 2007-2008 637 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 64 40 64 40 5481 36 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and 31 41 31 2007-2008 42 5508 37 apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 42 26 41 26 4754 31 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve 59 2007-2008 60 5065 34 problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140)

2006-2007

2007-2008

49

28

31

21

49

28

31

21

4607

3660

apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)

Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among

central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and

30

25



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

					Scł	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	136	6	4	42	31	60	44	28	21	1141	134	4	31	44	21	1141	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	3										3						274	1	12	31	57	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						96	2	24	30	44	1136
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						200	8	37	34	22	1142
Hispanic	1										1						120	3	23	32	43	1138
Caucasian/White	131	6	5	40	31	57	44	28	21	1141	129	5	30	43	22	1141	14180	4	38	34	24	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	22	0	0	0	0	8	36	14	64	1130	22	0	0	36	64	1130	1896	0	8	22	70	1130
No	114	6	5	42	37	52	46	14	12	1143	112	5	37	46	13	1143	12974	5	41	36	18	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	4										4						545	3	16	28	53	1135
No	132	6	5	42	32	57	43	27	20	1141	130	5	32	43	21	1141	14325	4	38	34	24	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	46	0	0	9	20	22	48	15	33	1136	46	0	20	48	33	1136	3695	1	22	37	40	1136
No	90	6	7	33	37	38	42	13	14	1143	88	7	36	42	15	1143	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	1										1						5	20	20	40	20	1144
No	135	6	4	42	31	59	44	28	21	1141	133	5	31	44	21	1141	14865	4	37	34	25	1141
Gender																						
Female	71	0	0	22	31	35	49	14	20	1140	70	0	31	49	20	1140	7362	3	36	36	24	1140
Male	65	6	9	20	31	25	38	14	22	1142	64	9	30	39	22	1142	7508	5	38	32	25	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	11	0	0	1	9	5	45	5	45	1134	11	0	9	45	45	1134	103	0	8	41	51	1134
No	125	6	5	41	33	55	44	23	18	1141	123	5	33	44	19	1141	14767	4	37	34	24	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						296	35	59	5	0	1158
No	136	6	4	42	31	60	44	28	21	1141	134	4	31	44	21	1141	14574	4	37	35	25	1140



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

School: Medomak Valley High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL School SAU State

	L						
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combir multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	10	7	10	7	952	6
	2006-2007	7	4	7	5	937	6
	2007-2008	4	3	4	3	962	7
	Cum. Total*	21	5	21	5	2851	6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	53	36	52	37	6055	40
	2006-2007	49	31	49	32	6167	41
	2007-2008	49	37	48	36	5564	38
	Cum. Total*	151	35	149	35	17786	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	51	35	48	34	4916	32
	2006-2007	57	37	57	37	4723	31
	2007-2008	44	33	43	33	4679	32
	Cum. Total*	152	35	148	34	14318	32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	32	22	32	23	3221	21
	2006-2007	43	28	42	27	3227	21
	2007-2008	37	28	37	28	3376	23
	Cum. Total*	112	26	111	26	9824	22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

					Scł	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	134	4	3	49	37	44	33	37	28	1138	132	3	36	33	28	1138	14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	3										3						248	2	19	30	49	1131
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						94	3	19	38	39	1133
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1				İ		192	6	30	34	30	1137
Hispanic	1										1						115	2	30	36	33	1136
Caucasian/White	129	4	3	47	36	42	33	36	28	1138	127	3	36	32	28	1138	13932	7	39	32	22	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	22	0	0	1	5	3	14	18	82	1122	22	0	5	14	82	1122	1825	1	7	23	69	1125
No	112	4	4	48	43	41	37	19	17	1141	110	4	43	36	17	1141	12756	7	43	33	17	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	4										4						488	3	19	29	49	1131
No	130	4	3	46	35	44	34	36	28	1138	128	3	35	34	28	1138	14093	7	39	32	22	1140
INO	130	4	3	40	33	44	34	36	20	1130	120	, s	35	34	20	1130	14093	_ ′	39	32	22	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	44	0	0	10	23	12	27	22	50	1131	44	0	23	27	50	1131	3546	2	25	35	38	1134
No	90	4	4	39	43	32	36	15	17	1141	88	5	43	35	17	1141	11035	8	42	31	18	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	1										1						5	20	0	20	60	1131
No	133	4	3	49	37	44	33	36	27	1138	131	3	37	33	27	1138	14576	7	38	32	23	1140
Gender																						
Female	70	1	1	29	41	24	34	16	23	1139	69	1	42	33	23	1139	7239	8	43	33	17	1142
Male	64	3	5	29	31	20	31	21	33	1137	63	5	30	32	33	1136	7342	6	34	31	30	1138
Not Reported	0	3		20	31	20	31	21	33	1137	0.5	,	30	32	33	1130	0	0	34	31	30	1130
																	"					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	11	0	0	1	9	4	36	6	55	1127	11	0	9	36	55	1127	103	0	7	39	54	1128
No	123	4	3	48	39	40	33	31	25	1139	121	3	39	32	26	1139	14478	7	38	32	23	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	42	53	4	0	1159
No	134	4	3	49	37	44	33	37	28	1138	132	3	36	33	28	1138	14286	6	38	33	24	1139
			!		!		!		!		<u> </u>		!	!	!		<u> </u>		!	!	<u> </u>	



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: **MSAD 40**

School

%

Ν

2007-2008

Medomak Valley High School School:

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL*

SAU

%

Ν

State

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

%

2

Ν

300

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results.

Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting.

Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts
in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses
demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes
of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and
communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180)

Learning Results	of Points	School	SAU	State		B. Ecology C. Cells	,		in Maine's 199 Results, which	0
	Number	Average Points	s Attained (Numb	er and Percent)	Cluster	1: Life Science A. Classify	ces ving Life Form	ıs	Each content s	
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's n science and infrequent or inaccurate connect minimal ability to solve problems and use the sixplanations are illogical, incomplete, or missir	ions among central id kills of scientific inqu	leas. The student's res niry. There are many i	sponses demonstrate	2007-2008	45	34	45	34	4988	34
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's concepts in science and inconsistent connection ome ability to analyze and solve problems using Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or	ns among central ideas ng scientific inquiry b	s. The student's respond to the quality of respond	onses demonstrate	2007-2008	32	24	30	23	3544	24
Meets the Standards – The student's work dencience, including the ability to make connection the ability to analyze and solve routine problem concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to scaled score 1141-1160)	ons among central idea as using the processes	as. The student's resp of scientific inquiry	onses demonstrate	2007-2008	55	41	55	42	5927	40
of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concernmunicate logical conclusions. (scaled score		nd proper terminolog	ry to support and							

		nber	Avera	ge Point	s Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	ool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27	6.53	43.5	6.54	43.6	6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25	6.52	46.6	6.51	46.5	6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25	4.83	34.5	4.87	34.8	5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23	6.45	49.6	6.45	49.6	6.59	50.7

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008 SAU: MSAD 40

REPORTING CATEGORIES	School										SAU						State					
	Tested	E		М		P		D		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	133	1	1	55	41	32	24	45	34	1141	131	1	42	23	34	1141	14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	3										3						269	0	20	14	65	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						92	1	24	28	47	1138
Asian or Pacific Islander	1										1						199	3	36	25	36	1140
Hispanic	1										1						118	1	26	19	54	1136
Caucasian/White	128	1	1	53	41	31	24	43	34	1141	126	1	42	23	34	1141	14081	2	41	24	33	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	22	0	0	1	5	4	18	17	77	1132	22	0	5	18	77	1132	1879	0	11	17	72	1133
No	111	1	1	54	49	28	25	28	25	1142	109	1	50	24	26	1142	12880	2	44	25	28	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	3										3						519	1	18	19	62	1134
No	130	1	1	53	41	32	25	44	34	1141	128	1	41	23	34	1141	14240	2	41	24	33	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	44	0	0	14	32	10	23	20	45	1138	44	0	32	23	45	1138	3651	1	26	24	49	1137
No	89	1	1	41	46	22	25	25	28	1142	87	1	47	23	29	1142	11108	3	45	24	29	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	1 1										1						5	20	40	40	0	1146
No	132	1	1	54	41	32	24	45	34	1141	130	1	42	23	35	1141	14754	2	40	24	34	1141
Gender																						
Female	69	0	0	24	35	21	30	24	35	1139	68	0	35	29	35	1139	7277	1	37	26	36	1140
Male	64	1	2	31	48	11	17	21	33	1142	63	2	49	16	33	1142	7482	3	43	22	32	1141
Not Reported	0	·	_	"							0	_					0	Ů			02	
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	10	0	0	1	10	2	20	7	70	1135	10	0	10	20	70	1135	100	1	5	22	72	1133
No	123	1	1	54	44	30	24	38	31	1141	121	1	45	23	31	1141	14659	2	40	24	34	1141
INU	123	ı	'	34		30	24	30	JI	1141	141	'	40	20	31	''4'	14009		40	44	J4	''4'
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						296	13	80	5	3	1152
No	133	1	1	55	41	32	24	45	34	1141	131	1	42	23	34	1141	14463	2	39	24	34	1140